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State Outreach Initiative

• Each state was assigned a direct staff liaison from the State Advocacy Team
• Team members make periodic contact with state association leaders to learn about the important issues in the state in order to provide resources and support
• Team members serve as the primary point of contact for the leadership on state issues and will triage SSLHA to appropriate team members or staff in other units, as needed
State Team Liaisons

Northeast Region - MA, NH, VT, NY, RI, CT, NJ, PA, DE, MD, OH, ME, DC
Susan Adams sadams@asha.org 800-498-2071, ext. 5665

South Region – VA, WV, KY, NC, TN, SC, GA, FL, AL, MS, LA, AR
Vacant 800-498-2071, ext. 5666

Central Region – ND, SD, NE, KS, OK, TX, MO, IA, MN, WI, IL, IN, MI
Janet Deppe jdeppe@asha.org 800-498-2071, ext. 5668

West Region – HI, CA, OR, WA, NV, ID, UT, AZ, NM, CO, WY, MT
Eileen Crowe ecrowe@asha.org 800-498-2071, ext. 5667

State Health Issues

- States continued to grapple with the cost of providing services under Medicaid. In 2012:
  - States reduced rates for PT, OT and Speech Services
  - States placed limitations on number of visits and increased co-pays for services
  - States eliminated optional services for adults
- In 2013, additional states have adopted reduction in Medicaid reimbursement or coverage limitations including: FL, IN, MT, WA and SD
Medicaid Rules and Laws passed in 2012

Alabama
New rules limit the number of case management and services to be provided to each client on an annual basis under Medicaid.

Alaska
New rules allow for an amendment to disability service limits, including speech-language pathology services, and the introduction to special education eligibility for speech-language pathology.

Arkansas
The S.L. 2012 passed an annual limit of 20 visits per service on OT, PT, and speech-language pathology services.

Ohio
New Title 371 § 190.20 and 190.21 limit services to visits to six visits per client per year, including audiologists, SLPs, optometrists, and other medical personnel.

New Hampshire
Rule 279.03(3) limits the number of visits per year for the same services.

Illinois
S.B. 2840 placed an annual limit of 20 visits per service on OT, PT, and speech-language pathology services.

Indiana
Rule 405 IAC 11.5-2 and 5-16-6 reduces by 5% rates to various providers, including audiologists, SLPs, optometrists, and other medical personnel.

Texas
Rule 22TAC § 141.2 concerning the sale of hearing aids and service limitations was amended.

Washington
WAC 388 adopted rules that eliminate optional medical services for clients age 21 and over, including vision, dental, and nursing services.

Insurance coverage for Autism

• Legislative bills related to service provision and insurance coverage of autism continues to be of great interest to states' legislators.
• Several bills eliminating limits on coverage passed this session.
• ASHA carefully monitors proposed legislation to ensure that SLP is included as a covered service.
• In 2013 coverage proposals were introduced in 18 states (CA, CT, GA, Hi, KS, MA, MA, MI, MN, MS, NE, NV, NJ, NM, NY, ND, OR, PA, TN, TX, and UT). To date, none of these bills have been enacted.

Insurance coverage for Autism rules and bills passed in 2012

Alabama
New bill includes coverage for services for children with autism 5 years of age and under.

Alaska
Bill was passed to require insurance coverage for autism with no limitations on benefits.

Arkansas
HB 754 increases the age of insurance coverage to 21 and eliminates the lifetime cap on benefits for autism services.

Kentucky
Rules were adopted to establish rates for specialty services for children with autism with a medical diagnosis.

Nevada
A new bill sets the maximum benefit for autism services at 300,000 per beneficiary per year at an equal.

New Mexico
A new bill provides for services to children with autism diagnosed with a medical diagnosis.

Utah
HB 381 prohibits insurers from denying coverage based on an autism diagnosis.
Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

• ASHA closely monitors the ACA and develops information and support for member involvement in state efforts to implement the Essential Health Benefits package
• ASHA works with members to ensure that appropriate habilitative and rehabilitative services will be covered by health insurers
• ASHA has developed a web site of information for state leaders and ASHA members at: www.asha.org/practice/Health-Care-Reform/Patient-Protection-and-Affordable-Care-Act/

Education Issues

• While ASHA continues to lobby Congress for loan forgiveness for SLPs and Audiologists. States are also working to pass loan forgiveness legislation beginning with:
  • Mississippi which established a master’s degree loan forgiveness scholarship program for SLPs working in MS schools

Education Issues

• ASHA developed the Performance Assessment of Contributions and Effectiveness of SLPs (PACE) to provide an alternative to value added assessments being developed for classroom teachers. In 2013, PACE will
  • Be piloted in several states and districts,
  • Utilized by a research center at a University to determine reliability and validity of the Matrix assessment tool, and
  • Promoted at the OSEP Leadership Conference through the US DOE
For more information about the PACE:
• See "ASHA Develops Alternative to Teacher Assessment Systems," by Carol Polovoy, in the June 5, 2012, issue of The ASHA Leader (online at www.asha.org/Publications/leader/2012/120605/ASHA-Develops-Alternative-to-Teacher-Assessment-System/)
• See ASHA’s Performance Assessment of Contributions and Effectiveness of Speech-Language Pathologists (www.asha.org/Advocacy/state/Performance-Assessment-of-Contributions-and-Effectiveness/).
Scope of Practice Issues

- ASHA continues to monitor issues related to infringement on the SLP and Audiology scope of practice.
- ASHA closely monitors and takes action on efforts by the AMA and others to examine and/or limit scope of practice.
- Two issues have been in the forefront of those discussions in 2012-2013: Truth and Transparency and Music Therapy licensure legislation.

Truth and Transparency Legislation

- The American Medical Association’s (AMA) Scope of Practice Partnership has identified truth and transparency legislation as one of its major goals. These bills require professionals—particularly those with doctoral degrees—to identify themselves to the general public as doctors of their stated professions (e.g., doctor of audiology).
- Nonphysician health care professionals believe that the “truth and transparency” legislation supported by the AMA is unnecessary, redundant, and part of an effort by the AMA to examine professional competence of other health professionals.
- Several states (AR, FL, IA, ME, NE, NV, NJ, ND, OR, VT, WA) introduced “truth and transparency” bills this session, but due to extensive efforts by staff, state leaders, and members in states, only a few states (including Massachusetts and Maryland) passed such legislation.

Music Therapy Legislation

- Music therapy (MT) licensure proposals were introduced in several states (AZ, IN, IA, MN, NC, SC, RI, and UT).
- Due to the broad scope of practice, including assessment and treatment of communication disorders in those bills, ASHA opposed these proposals.
- ASHA worked closely with state associations:
  - ASHA and the Georgia Speech-Language-Hearing Association were able to limit the scope in the revised bill, of an MT bill which was signed into law.
  - ASHA is also working with IN to obtain the Governor’s veto of a MT certification bill.
  - ASHA assisted ArSHA with an MT bill that was vetoed by the Governor.
State Regulatory Issues

Licensure:
• In 2012 South Dakota passed Senate Bill 72 licensing speech-language pathologists and speech-language pathology assistants, includes universal provisions that require a single license for practice across all settings.
• Colorado attained certification through the Division of Registration in the Department of Regulatory Agencies for SLPs practicing in all settings, except educational settings, throughout the state.
• All fifty states and the District of Columbia now regulate SLPs and Audiologists

State Regulatory Issues

• See video Licensure Win for South Dakota SLPs and Assistants, by Jennifer Schultz (www.youtube.com/watch?v=QVyv5SahhE).
• See video Building Relationships Leads to SLP Licensure in Colorado, by Katheryn Boada (www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZTL1gD3Nibw).

Service Continuum

• The Professional Service Continuum Summit held in 2011, collected information on supporting a service continuum. There were six recommendations from the Summit, one requiring developing model licensing language to promote uniform credentials for audiology, speech-language pathology, and assistant practice in states which approved universal credentialing.
• Another recommendation was to develop a scope of practice for SLPAs. An ad hoc committee completed its work and following review was approved by the Board of Directors.
• ASHA’s state advocacy team is promoting the adoption of the new language to establish uniform requirements across states, including universal licensing provisions for SLPs and SLPAs and to adopt SLP scope of practice language in state laws and regulations.

• See ASHA’s Speech Language Pathology Assistant Scope of Practice (online at www.asha.org/policy/SP2013‐00337/).
State and Student Advocacy Grants

- ASHA continues to promote state and member advocacy through state grants for initiatives related to personnel, reimbursement, and student advocacy. In 2012, personnel and reimbursement grants totaling $60,000 were awarded across the United States. California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Illinois, Minnesota, New York, South Carolina, and South Dakota received personnel grants; Georgia, Idaho, Kansas, and Massachusetts received reimbursement grants. In addition, student advocacy grants totaling $8,000 were awarded to eight states (Missouri, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and Washington).

- In 2013, $69,000 in grant funds were disbursed for 16 personnel grants (California, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, and Wisconsin) and 2 reimbursement grants (Florida and Minnesota). Student advocacy grants will be awarded in the fall of 2013.

Telepractice

- ASHA has been actively involved in the promotion and use and appropriate regulation of telepractice. In 2012,
  - staff authored articles in the International Journal for Telehabilitation
  - delivered presentations on licensure portability and service delivery at the annual American Telemedicine Conference and the National Alliance for Medicaid Education Conference
  - ASHA staff and ED worked with the Virginia Medicaid office to reimburse speech-language pathology services delivered via telepractice in school settings.
  - Similar efforts are underway in a number of other states. Currently, six states reimburse speech-language pathology services delivered via telepractice in education settings.
  - Staff advocated for insurance coverage of telepractice services, which resulted in the passage of telepractice bills in several states.
Telepractice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State/Region</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maryland H.B. 1149</td>
<td>Requires insurers to provide the same coverage for health care services delivered in person or via telehealth and prohibits insurers from denying coverage for services solely because services are delivered through telehealth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Legislation</td>
<td>Passed legislation that prohibited denial of coverage for services delivered via telehealth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York Legislation</td>
<td>Passed A.B. 9834, which provides for credentialing of health care providers providing services through telehealth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resources

- Advocacy in Action: 2012-2013: http://www.asha.org/advocacy
- State Trends: http://www.asha.org/advocacy/state/StateLicensureTrends/

Questions

Contact state team members:
- Janet Deppe, jdeppe@asha.org
- Susan Adams, sadams@asha.org
- Eileen Crowe, ecrowe@asha.org